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#### Abstract

The reaction of $[P d(C \wedge N)(a c a c)]\left[C \wedge N=d m b a\left(2-(d i m e t h y l a m i n o m e t h y l) p h e n y l-C^{\prime}, N\right), 8-m q(8-q u i n o l y l m e t h y l-C, N) ;\right.$ acac $=$ acetylacetonate] with the 2-mercapto derivatives $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]\{\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]=\mathrm{pySH}$ (2-mercaptopyridine), bztzSH (2-mercaptobenzothiazole), pymSH (2-mercaptopyrimidine), bamdSH (2-mercaptobenzimidazole), and taSH (2-mercaptothiazoline)) in $1: 1$ molar ratio $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, room temperaure) results in the protonation of the acetylacetonate ligand, which is eliminated as acetylacetone, and N.S-bridging coordination of the anionic groups $[\mathrm{N} \wedge S]^{-}$. giving the corresponding neutral dinuclear derivatives $[P d(C \wedge N)(\mu-N \wedge S)]_{2}(C \wedge N=$ dmba, $N \wedge S=$ pyS 1, bztzS 2, pymS 3, bzindS 4, tzS 5; $C \wedge N=8$-mq, $N \wedge S=$ pyS 6, bztzS 7, pymS 8, bzmdS 9, tzS 10). In these complexes, two $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N})]^{+}$fragments are bridged by two $[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]^{\top}$ ligands in a head-to-tail disposition and with a C-trans-to- N ligand arrangement around the palladium(II) centre. On the other hand, the reaction of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N})(\mathrm{acac})](\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{dmba} .8-\mathrm{mq})$ with the same 2 -mereapto derivatives, but in $1: 2.3$ molar ratio $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, room temperature), allows the synthesis of the homoleptic dinuclear derivatives $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{N} \wedge S)_{2}\right]_{2}$ (by protonation of both the acetylacetonate and the ortho-metallated ligands) only when $\mathrm{N} \wedge S=\mathrm{pyS} 11$, bztzS 12. pymS 13, while for $N \wedge S=$ bemdS and $2 S$ the corresponding $[P d(C \wedge N)(\mu-N \wedge S)]_{2}(4,5,9,10)$ complexes were obtained. Complexes I= 13 have been characterized through IR and NMR spectroscopic methods. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.


Krywow: Orformeathed; Palladium: Dinuclear: N.S-bridging ligunds; NOESY

## 1. Introduction

The combination of an exocyclic thione (thioketo) group and a heterocyclic molecule, which may contain nitrogen, oxygen, sulphur or a combination of these, generates a group of compounds with considerable coordination potential. Molecules such as 2 -mercaptopyridine (pySH), 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (bztzSH), 2mercaptopyrimidine ( pymSH ), 2-mercaptobenzimidazole (bzmdSH), and 2-mercaptothiazoline (tzSH) belong to this class of ligands, and they have shown a rich coordination chemistry [1]. The deprotonation of these molecules could be easily accomplished by a variety of methods, generating the corresponding anions (see Fig. 1) which also display an interesting chemical behaviour

[^0]and a high versatility as ligands. For instance, several coordination modes have been found for pyridine-2. thiolate (S-coordinated [2-4] N,S-chelated [4 411$]$, $N, S$-bridging [12-16] and $N, S$-triply bridging [15]) and benzothiazole-2-thiolate (double and triply bridging [17-21]): and dinuclear Pt (III) compounds containing bridging pyridine-2-thiolate or pyrimidine-2-thiolate ligands have been synthesized [22-24]. However, very few examples of Pd (II) complexes containing this kind of ligands have been reported and, as far as we know, they are restricted to the pyridine-2-thiolate group [14,15].

We have previously shown that acetylacetonate complexes of Pd(II) are useful precursors in synthetic work, since they react with weak protic acids $H[\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L}]$ resulting in the displacement of acetylacetone, coordination of the anionic $[\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}]^{-}$group and formation of mononuclear [25-29] or dinuclear [30] complexes depending on


Fig. I. Sehemate reprenentation and proton labelling of the differem ligands employed in the synthesis of eomplexes 1-13.
whether the deprotonated acid [ $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}$ ] is endo= or exo-bidentate. Following our study of the reactivity of acetylacetonato complexes towards weak protic acids. we report here the pesults obtained from the reactions of $[\operatorname{Pdr} \subset \wedge N(a c a c)](C \wedge N=$ dmba, $8-\mathrm{mq})$ with the $2-$ mercapto derivatives above specified, which contain acidic H atoms.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis of complexes 1-13

The reaction of $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge N)(a c a c)][C \wedge N=2$ -(dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl- $C^{\prime}, N$ or dmba, 8 -quinolylmethyl $C, N$ or $8-\mathrm{mq}$; acac $\equiv$ acetylacetonate] with the 2 -mercapto derivatives pySH, betaSH, pymSH. bzomdSH and ZSH ( $1: 1$ molar ratio) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature resilts in the protonation of the acetylaceto. nate ligand, which is eliminated as acetylacetone, by the acidic H atom of the 2 -mercapto derivative and coordination of the resulting anionic heterocyclic 2 -thiolate groups (see Fig. 1), to give neutral derivatives of stoichiometry $[P d C \wedge N)(N \wedge S)](C \wedge N=d m b a, N \wedge S$ - pyS 1. butuS 2, pymS 3, bzmdS 4, tzS 5: C $\wedge N=8$.
mq, $\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}=$ pyS 6 bztzS 7, pymS 8, bzmdS 9, tzS 10) (see Eq. (1)) as determined from their elemental analyses of C, H, N.


Conductivity measurements performed for the adequately soluble complexes 1-6 in acetone soiutions ( $c \cong 5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) showed the neutral nature of these compounds since they behave as non-electrolytes. The determination of the molecular weight of 3 and 4 , as representative examples, from their $\mathrm{CHCl}^{\text {, }}$, solutions are in good agreement with a dinuclear stoichiometry $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{C}$ $\wedge N)(N \wedge S)]_{2}$. In addition, the mass spectra of 1-6 (see Section 4: complexes 7-10 did not show any peak in these spectra, probably due to their low solubility) show the presence of the molecular peak corresponding to a dinuclear formulation $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge N)(N \wedge S)]_{2}^{+}$and, in many cases, an additional peak corresponding to the loss of a $[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]^{-}$ligand $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N})_{2}(\mathrm{~N} \wedge \mathrm{~S})\right]^{+}$. The dinuclear stoichiometry of complexes $1-10$ will be confirmed later by NMR spectroscopy (see below).

When the reactions between $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge N)(a c a c)]$ and the same 2 -mercapto derivatives are performed under the same experimental conditions $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, room temperature) but using an $1: 2.3$ molar ratio ( $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}$ ), the results vary as a function of both the ortho-metallated ligand and the 2omercapto derivative implied. Thus, the reaction of [Pddmba)(acac)] with pySH. bazsH or pymSH results in the protonation of both the acelylaces onate and the dimba ligands, and formation of very insoluble solids of stoichiometry $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(N \wedge S)_{2}\right](N \wedge S$ = pyS 11. bataS 12. pymS 13) (see Eq. (2)), as determined from their elemental analyses of C. H. N. In spite of their low solubility, the mass spectra of 12 and 13 could be obtained and showed peaks corresponding to a dinuclear stoichiometry $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(N \wedge S)_{2}\right]_{2}$ (see Section 4) ( 13 showed also a peak corresponding to the loss of a pymS ${ }^{-}$ligand $\left.\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{pymS})_{3}\right]^{+}\right)$. suggesting a dinuclear structure for complexes 11-13. The X-ray determination of the molecular structure of 11, which have been already reported [14], confirms this hypothesis: and it is sensible to assume a similar nuclearity for complexes 12 and 13. in which two Pd(II) centres are bridged by four $N \wedge S$ ligands in a S-prams-to- $N$ and head-to-head disposition of the ligands, as represented in Eq (2).



Fig. 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ 'H NOESY spectrum for complex 2.

Complexes 11 and 13 could be alternatively obtained from reaction of $[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(a c a c)]$ with PYSH and pymSH, respectively ( $1: 2.3$ molar ratio. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature). However, the reaction of [Pd(8-mq)(acac)] with batzSH under the same experimental conditions affords a mixture of 7 and unreacted bztzSH. The same result is obtained even if the reaction is carried out in refluxing benzenc. In a similar way, the reaction of [Pd(dmba)(acac)] or $[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(\mathrm{acac})]$ with bzmdSH and t2SH (1:2.3 molar ratio, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{0}$, reflux) affords mixtures of the respective $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge N)(N \wedge S)]_{2}$ complexes ( 4,5 , 9 and 10) and the unreacted ligands, the products $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{bzmdS})_{2}\right]_{2}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{tzS})_{2}\right]_{2}$ were not obtained in these experimental conditions. The tack of reactivity of bataSH towards the double protonation of $[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(\mathrm{acac})]$, when compared with [Pd(dmba)(acac)], could be related with the higher stability of the chelated $8-\mathrm{mq}$ ligand [31,32]. However, it seems that there is not a clear correlation between the lack of reactivity of batzSH towards the double protonation of $[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(a c a c)]$, when compared with pySH or pymSH, and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$
values of the 2 -mercapto derivatives. Thus, in spite of the higher acidity of bazzSH ( $p K_{\mathrm{a}}=6.9[1]$ ) than that of pySH ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=9.97$ [33]), pySH can protonate both the $8-\mathrm{mq}$ and acac ligands to give $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{pyS})_{2}\right]_{2}$, while bataSH is not able to behave similarly.

### 2.2. Spectroscopic characterisation of complexes 1-13

Further characterization of complexes $1-13$ is provided by the analysis of their IR and NMR spectra. The IR spectra of 1-10 (see Section 4) show the disappearance of the absorptions attributed to the acac ${ }^{-}$ligand [28], and the presence of characteristic absorptions of the coordinated ligands: two absorptions at about 850 and $740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicate the presence of chelated dmba [34], and absorptions at about 1505,820 , and $780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicate the presence of chelated $8-\mathrm{mq}$ [34]. The existence of deprotonated ligands $[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]^{-}$in complexes $1-10$ is inferred from the absence of the $\nu(\mathrm{NH})$ absorption, except for complexes 4 and 9 , which possess and additional $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group [35]. Selected internal absorp-


tions of the anionic $[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]^{-}$ligands are given in the Section 4. The IR spectra of $11-13$ show the disappear. ance of the absorptions attributed to both acac ${ }^{*}$ and $[\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N}]^{-}$ligands, and the presence of bands assigned to the $[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]^{-}$groups at similar wavelenghts to those observed in complexes $1-3$ or $6-8$.

The it NMR spectra of complexes 1-5 (Table 1) show the presence of a single isomer in solution, since only one set of signals is observed. The benzylic methylene group appears as an $A B$ quartet, and the $N$-methyl groups as two sharp singlets. This fact rules out immediately a mononuclear structure with chelating [ $\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}$ ] ${ }^{-}$ ligands or a symmetrical dimer bridged by the $[\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S}]^{-}$ ligands though sulphur atoms alone. Thus, only the possibility of an asymmetric NCS-bridging mode remains, and by analogy with certain acetate-bridged complexes [ 36,37 ], this might be expected to generate a dimeric 'open-book' structure. Previously, it has been proposed for complex [Pd(dmba) $(\mu$-pyS)] 1 [15] a head-to-tail structure, with the pyridine ring trans to the carbon atom of the dmba chelate, on the basis of the
absence of anisotropic shielding of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (dmba) by a cis-pyridine ring [38], and assuming that the relative disposition of the ligands is preserved in subsequent reactions.

In order to obtain a direct information about the stereochemistry of these dinuclear derivatives 1-10, we have performed 'H-'H NOESY measurements for complexes 1-6; the nuclear Overhauser effect measurement can be used to determine the pattern of relative interatomic interactions in molecules of fixed geometry. with some degree of internal molecular motion tolerated [39,40]. All these complexes $1-10$ are good candidates for NOESY purposes, since they show a temperature independent 'H NMR spectra, but only complexes 1-6 show an adequate solubility. As a representative example, Fig. 2 shows the NOESY spectrum of complex $\left[\right.$ Pdddmba) $(\mu \text {-bataS) }]_{2}$ 2. Proton labelling (Figs. 1, 2 and 4) was obtained unambiguously from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ homodecoupling and COSY experiments. As can be seen, there is not interactions between $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ (dmba) and the aromatic protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ of the bztzS ligand, this fact
Table I
${ }^{1}$ H NMR data ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$; J. Hz ) for complexes 1-11

| No. | $\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N}$ resonances | NAS resonances |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $7.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{H}, 6.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right.$ | 8.58 (ddd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6},{ }^{3} J_{6-5}=5.6,{ }^{4} J_{6-4}=1.8,{ }^{5} J_{6=3}=0.75\right)$ |
|  | 3.12, 2.93 (AB spin system, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} \%}=13.4$ ) | $7.28\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3},{ }_{3} J_{3-4}=8.1,{ }^{4} J_{3-5}=1\right)$ |
|  | 2.53 (s, 3H, NMe 2 ), 2.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ) | 7.02 (ddd, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4-5}=7.2\right), 6.70$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) |
|  | 7.68 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), $6.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{l}, 6.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right.$ | 8.73 (dt, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4},{ }^{3} J_{4}-5=8.3,{ }^{4} J_{4-6} \cong{ }^{5} J_{4-7}=0.4\right)$ |
|  | 3.00, 2.83 (AB spin system, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{N}^{2}{ }^{2} j_{\text {git }}=13.7$ ) | 7.48 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7} \cdot{ }^{3} J_{7-6}=8.0{ }^{4}{ }^{4} J_{7-5}=1.2$ ) |
|  | 2.57 (s. $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), 2.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ) | 7.41 (ddd. $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5-6}=7.4$ ). 7.20 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ) |
|  | 7.67 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), 6.93 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{f}, 6.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ | 8.71 (s, broad. $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 8.20$ (s, broad, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) |
|  | 2.98.2.83 ( AB spin system. $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~S}_{\text {H\% }}=13.6$ ) | 6.75 (t. IH, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{3} J_{5-4} \xlongequal{3} J_{5-6}=5.0\right)$ |
|  | 2.53 (s, 3H, NMe 2 ). 2.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ) |  |
|  | $7.70\left(\mathrm{~m} .1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) .6 .98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .6 .82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ 3.22. 2.97 (AB spin system, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{4 \mathrm{H}}=13.6$ ) | 8.78 ( s , broad, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.10 (dd, $\mathrm{IH}_{,} \mathrm{H}_{4},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4-5}=7.9$, $\left.\left.{ }^{4} J_{4-6}=0.7\right), 7.14 \text { (ddd, } 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5},{ }^{3} J_{5-6}=4.6,{ }^{4} J_{5-7}=3.8\right) \text {. }$ |
|  | 2.60 (s.3H, NMe $) .2 .32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right.$ ) ${ }^{\text {HHH}}$ | $7.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ |
|  | $7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{H}, 6.72\left(\mathrm{~m} .1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right.$ | 4.17 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 3.35 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) |
|  | 2.98. $2.81\left(\mathrm{AB}\right.$ spin system, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{N}^{2}{ }^{2} J_{\text {H49 }}=13.6\right)$ |  |
|  | $260\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{3}\right), 2.48$ (s, 3H, NMe ${ }_{2}$ |  |
|  | $8.23\left(\mathrm{dG}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4-3}=8.3^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{7,4}=1.5\right) .7 .95$ (ded. $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$. | $8.55\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{3} J_{6-5}=5.6{ }^{4} J_{6-4}=1.8,{ }^{5} J_{6-3}=0.7\right)$ |
|  | $\left.J_{5-2}=4.9\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}, J_{5-6}=8.11^{7} J_{5-7}=0.9\right), 7.29$ | $7.45\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{8} J_{3_{3}-4}=8.3,{ }^{4} J_{3-5}={ }^{5} J_{3-6}=0.7\right)$ |
|  | (dd. $1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ) . 7.13 (pt. 1 H. $\mathrm{H}_{6} \cdot{ }^{3} J_{5-6} \cong^{3} J_{6-7}=8.1$ ). 6.73 (dd. | 7.10 (ddd, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4},{ }^{3} J_{4-5}=7.0\right), 6.69$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) |
|  |  | $8.72\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} .^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4-5}=8.1 .{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{4-6}=1.2,{ }^{5} J_{4-7}=0.6\right)$ |
|  | $\left.{ }_{3} J_{3-2}=4.9\right), 7.69-7.20$ (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} . \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .6 .99$ (pt IH. H ${ }_{5}$. | $\left.7.69-7.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{\text {4-6 }}=1.2,{ }_{4-7}=0.6\right)$ |
|  | $\left.{ }^{3} J_{5-6} \cong{ }^{3} J_{6-7}=7.2\right) .6 .58\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 .}{ }^{\prime} J_{7-5}=1.2\right) .3 .19$. |  |
|  | 2.22 (AB spin system, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{\text {H }}$ H $=15$ ) |  |
| 8 | 8.27 (dd. 14, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{3} J_{4-3}=8.2 .{ }^{4} J_{3-4}=1.3\right) .8 .01 \mathrm{fdd.1H}. \mathrm{H}_{2}$. | 8.30 (s, broad. $2 \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) |
|  | $\left.{ }_{3-2}=4.8\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{50}{ }^{3} f_{5-6}=7.2{ }^{5} J_{5-1}=1.2\right) .7 .35$ | $6.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5},{ }^{3} J_{5-4} \cong{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5-6}=5.0\right)$ |
|  | (dd. 1H. $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 7.09 (pt, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{3} J_{5-6} \equiv{ }^{3} H_{6-7}=7.2 \mathrm{l} .6 .62$ (dd. |  |
| 9 | 1H. H, ), 2.99, 2.15 ( AB spin system. 2H. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} .-f_{439}=13.9$ ) |  |
|  | $\left.{ }^{3} J_{3-2}=4.9\right) .7 .31\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,}, J_{5-6}=7.2,{ }_{5} f_{5-7}=1.2\right) .7 .25$ | 8.94 (s. broad, $\mathrm{IH}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). 8.05 (dd, $\mathrm{IH}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{~J}_{4-5}=8.0$, $\left.{ }^{4} J_{4-6}=1.1\right), 7.15-7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | 1H. $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ). 3.05. $2.12\left(\mathrm{AB}\right.$ spin system. $2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J_{\text {\%月, }}=15.4$ ) |  |
| 10 | 8.42 (dd. $\left.1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \cdot{ }^{3} J_{3-2}=4.9{ }^{4} J_{2-4}=1.5\right) .8 .21$ (dd. $1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. | 4.39 (m, 2H, CH2 N , 3.46 (m, 2H, CH2 S |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
|  | 8.35 (ddd. UH. $\mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{3} J_{6-5}=5.7{ }^{2} J_{6-6}=1.7 .{ }^{5} J_{6-5}=0.7$ ). 7.24 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 7.09 (ddd. $\left.1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}_{5} . \mathrm{S}_{4-5}=7.2\right) .6 .78$ (ddd. $1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) |  |



Fig. 4. Schematic representation and proton labelling for compound 2.
confirming the $\mathbf{C}(d m b a)$-trans-to- $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{bztzS})$ ligand arrangement [therefore. $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{dmba})$-trans-to-S(bztzS)], and the head-to-tail disposition of the bztzS ligands, as depicted in Eq. (1) and Fig. 4. In such structure, one methyl of the $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$, group (dmba) is directed inwards and is close to the zone of aromatic shielding of the other dmba ligand. This fact is clearly seen in the NOE interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ (dmba) and the upfield resonance of the $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ group ( 2.28 ppm ). The other methyl of the $\mathrm{NMe}_{3}$ group ( $\mathbf{2 . 5 7} \mathrm{ppm}$ ) is directed outwards and shows a strong NOE interaction with $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ (bztzS) in keeping with the N ocis-to- N disposition. The obvious $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (dmba) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ (dmba) NOE interaction is also observed. Similar results can be inferred from the NOES $Y$ spectra of 1. 3, 4 and 5, showing that all these com. plexes present the same disposition of the ligands. Thus. NOESY measurements allows the unambiguous establishment of the stereochemistry of these complexes ( $1=5$ ),

The 'H NMR spectra of $6-13$ (Table 1) show a closely related situation to that described for complexes


Fig. 5. Schematic representation and proton labelling for compound 6.

1-5. In all cases only one set of signals is observed, in which the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons of the $8-\mathrm{mq}$ ligand appear as an AB quartet. excluding a mononuclear or a dinuclear 5 -bridging stereochemistry, and suggesting an analogous structure to that proposed for $\mathbf{1 - 5}$. We have also measured the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectrum of 6 (the most soluble representant of the series) in order to confirm the N -cis-to- N geometry. Fig. 3 shows the aromatic region of this spectrum, in which it is possible to observe a weak NOE interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ (pyS) and $\mathrm{H}_{2}(8-\mathrm{mq})$ (see Fig. 5) and a strong NOE interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{3}(p y S)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}(8-\mathrm{mq})$, in keeping with the $\mathrm{N}=$ cis-to- N geometry. This geometry could also be inferred from the unusually low chemical shif of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ $(8-\mathrm{mq})$. which appears al higher ficlds than $\mathrm{H}_{4}$. Due to the presence of the cis-pyridine ring. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ undergoes the influence of its anisotropic shielding [38] and is shiffed to high field. This fact is also observed in complexes 7-9. having aromatic heterocyclic thiolates, but not in 10 in which $H_{3}$ appears at low tield than $H_{4}$, as expected.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR spectra of $1-6$ (Table 2: complexes 7-10 were insufficiently soluble for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}$ mea-

Table | a |
| :---: |

"C('H) NMR data ( $\delta$. ppm) for complexes 1-6

| No. | $\mathbf{C} \wedge \mathrm{N}$ resonances | N^S revonances |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $149,84,147.82,135,33,124,86.123,97.122,22\left(\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$. $70.89(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{N}), 51.76,50.82(\mathrm{NMe}$, | $173.45(\mathrm{C}, 1.150 .03 .138 .87 .127 .83,117.03$ |
| 2 | $150.03,147.54,135.23,124,77.123 .60,122.48\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ $70,88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 52,47,50.82\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181.87(C), 152.29,185.57,12592,125.21,120.85 . \\ & 119.66 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $149.70,146,48,135.68,125,21,124,53,122.5 \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. $70.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 51.97 .50 .67\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ | $183.14\left(C_{2}\right) .158 .23\left(C_{6}\right) .156 .04\left(C_{4}\right) .114 .18\left(C_{5}\right)$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 150.19 .148 .92 .135,78,125,06,12433.122 .18\left(\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) . \\ & 71.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 52.19 .51 .22\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 162.94(C, 142.92 .134 .46,121.72 .121 .52 .116 .06 . \\ & 109.31 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $149,89,148.18 .135 .74,125,10,124.32,122.14\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. $70.84(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{N}) .52 .28 .51 .36$ (NMe, $)$ | $180.59(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{)}, 65.11(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{N}) .36 .25(\mathrm{CH}, 5)$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 153,90,150,71,148.97,137.02,128.52(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.92, \\ & 12310,121.69\left(\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.48(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Pd}) \end{aligned}$ | $184.02\left(C_{2}\right), 151.71,133.77,129.35,116.69$ |



Fig. 6. Schematic representation and proton labelling for compound 12.
surements) showed the presence of all the expected resonances and did not show remarkable features. On the other hand, complexes 12 (see Fig. 6) and 13 were also insufficiently soluble even for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ measurements. Only the ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of complex 11 could be measured, showing the expected presence of the [pyS] resonances alone.

It is also noteworthy the stereoselectivity in the coordination of the $[\mathrm{N} \wedge S]^{-}$bridging ligands. From the five expected isomers for a dinuclear $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge N)(\mu-N$ $\wedge S)]_{2}$ structure, only one is observed. A sensible explanation for this fact lies in the comparison of the different hardness and softesess of the donor atoms bonded to palladium [41] and the antisymbiotic behaviour of the Pd(II) centre $[42-44]$. Thus, the soft carbon atom of the orthe-metallated ligand will be particularly stabilized when coordinated trans to the hardest donor atom of the heterocyclic tholate ligand. that is, the N atom. And, in the same way, the position trons to the hard N atom of the ortho-metallated ligand would be oecupied by the soft sulphur atom of the thiolate group.

## 3. Conclusion

New dinuclear complexes of stoichiometry $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge$ $\mathrm{N})(\mu-\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S})]_{2}$ or $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{S})_{2}\right]_{2}$ have been synthesized in high yield by reaction of $[\operatorname{Pd}(C \wedge N)($ acac $)]$ with different 2 -mercapto derivatives $H[N \wedge S]$. The stoichiometry of the final products depends upon the molar ratio employed and the nature of both the $\mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{N}$ ligand and the 2 -mercapto derivative. The reaction is highly stereoselective and only one isomer is formed. Further work about these complexes will concentrate on exploring: (i) their reactivity as 'building-blocks' in the synthesis of polynuclear aggregates, and (ii) their red-ox behaviour in the synthesis of complexes of palladium in high oxidation states.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. General comments

Elemental analyses of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 microanalyser. Infrared spectra ( $4000-200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 883 infrared spectrophotometer from Nujol mulls between polyethylene sheets. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(300.13 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ ( 75.47 MHz ) NMR spectra were recorded from $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions at room temperature (unless otherwise stated) on a Bruker ARX-300 spectrometer using the solvent signal as internal standard. The two dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY experiments performed on compounds 1-6 were carried out at a measuring frequency of 300.13 MHz . The data were acquired into a $512 \times 1024$ matrix, then transformed into $1024 \times 1024$ points using a sine window in each dimension. The mixing time was 400 ms in each case. The two dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}$ experiments performed on compounds 2 and 6 were carried out at a measuring frequency of 300.13 MHz . The data were acquired into a $384 \times 1024$ matrix, then transformed into $1024 \times 1024$ points using a sine window in each dimension. Mass spectra were registered in a VG-Autospec spectrometer using the standard $C$ is ion FAB (acceleration voltage 35 kV ). Conductivities were measured on $\equiv 5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ acetone solutions with a Philips PW-9509 conductometer. Molecular weight determinations were carried out on a Knauer osmometer using chloroform solutions of concentration 路 $2.5 \times$ $10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$. The starting compound [Pd(dmba)(acac)] was prepared according to published methods [28]: the complex $[P d(8-\mathrm{my})($ acac) $)$ was synthesized following the same experimental procedure than that for [Pd(dmba)(acas)]. The 2 -mercapto derivatives were commercially available and were used as purchased. Complexes $\mathbb{1}[15]$ and 11 [14] were previously reported. although they have been obtained by another synthetic method.

### 4.2. Preparation of |Pd(dmba)( $\mu-p, S))_{:}$I

To a solution of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dmba})(\mathrm{acac})](0.301 \mathrm{~g}, 0.886$ mmol ) in 20 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, was added 2-inercaptopyridine $(0.099 \mathrm{~g}, 0.886$ minol). The oniginal pale-yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . resulting in a gradual change of its colour until a red solution was obtained. The solvent was then evaporated to simall volume ( ca .2 ml ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was added. Subsequent stirring gave 1 as a yellow solid, which was filtered and air dried. Obtained: $0.247 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%$ yield). The spectral parameters of 1 were identical to those reported previously [15].

### 4.3. Preparation of [Pd(dmba) $(\mu$-bztzS)], 2

Complex 2 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for $1:[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dmba})(\mathrm{acac})]$ ( 0.251 $\mathrm{g}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole ( $0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give 2 as a pale yellow solid. Obtained: $0.259 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (813.70): C 47.23; H, 3.96; N, 6.88. Found: C, 47.44: H, 4.01; N, 6.59. IR ( $\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1243, 10261010 (bztzS); 845, 744 (dmba). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) [m/z. (\%)]: 814 ( $100 \%$ ) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$; $648(90 \%)$ [(M-bztzS) $\left.{ }^{+}\right]$.

### 4.4. Preparation of $\left[\right.$ Pd $(d m b a)(\mu \text {-pymS) }]_{2} 3$

Complex 3 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for $1:[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dmba})(\mathrm{acac})]$ ( 0.251 $\mathrm{g}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptopyrimidine $(0.083 \mathrm{~g}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give 3 as a yellow solid. Obtained: 0.245 g ( $94 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (703.50): C, 44.39; H. 4.29: N, 11.94. Found: C, 43.66; H, 4.25; N, 11.42. IR ( $\nu . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1574, 1532. 1190, 993 (pymS); 849. 740 (dmba). Mol. weight found (calc.): 715.45 (703.50). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} .(\%)]: 704(70 \%)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$: $593(100 \%)$ [(M•pymS) ${ }^{+}$].

### 4.5. Preparation of $\mid$ Pd $\left.(d m b a)\left(\mu \cdot b_{s} m d S\right)\right)_{z}$ (

Complex 4 was obtained following the same pricedure than that described for 1: [Pddmba)(acac)] (0.251 g. 0.937 mmol ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptobenzimidazole ( $0.111 \mathrm{~g}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give 4 as a pale orange solid. Obtained: 0.260 g ( $91 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (779.60): C. 49.30; H, 4.39; N. 10.78. Found: C. 49.26 ; H. 4.68; N. 10.41. IR ( $\nu . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3205 ( NH ), 1276, 996 (bamdS): 853, 741 (dmba). Mol. weight found (calc.): 790.30 (779.60). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) [m/z, (\%)]: $780(65 \%)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$: $631(100 \%)$ [(M-bzmdS $\left.)^{*}\right]$.

### 4.6. Preparation of (Pddmba)( $\mu-12 S)$ ): 5

Complex 5 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for 1: $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dmba})($ acac $)]$ ( 0.251 g. 0.737 mmol ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptothiazoline ( $0.088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give 5 as a yellow solid. Obtained: $0.211 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (717.60): C. 40.17 ; H, 4.49: N, 7.80. Found: C, 40.04; H, 4.67: N, 7.72. IR $\left(v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 1521,1032,974,940(\mathrm{tzS}) ; 847,745$ (dmba). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z},(\%)\right.$ : $718(15 \%)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$.

### 4.7. Preparation of $[P d(8-m q)(\mu-p y S)]_{2} 6$

Complex 6 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for $1:[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(\mathrm{acac})]$ ( 0.313 $\mathrm{g}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptopyridine $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give 6 as an orange solid. Obtained: 0.224 g ( $69 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (717.48): C, 50.22; H, 3.37; N, 7.81. Found: C, 49.80; H, 3.38; N, 7.46. IR ( $\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1584, 1542, 1133, 1087 (pyS); 1504, 818, 781 ( $8-\mathrm{mq}$ ). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, (\%)]: 718 (20\%) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] ; 608(40 \%)\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{pyS})^{+}\right] ; 576$ (35\%) [(M$8 \mathrm{mq})^{+}$].

### 4.8. Preparation of $[\operatorname{Pd}(8 n d q)(\mu-b z z z)]_{2} 7$

Complex 7 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for $1:[\operatorname{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})$ (acac)] ( 0.249 g. 0.717 mmol ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptobenzothiazole ( $0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 0.717 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give 7 as a yellow solid, which precipitated from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture. Obtained: 0.245 g ( $82 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (829.65): C. 49.22; H. 2.91: N. 6.75. Found: C. 49.29; H. 2.73; N. 6.77. IR $\left(\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1240,1023,1011$ (bztaS): 1506. 818. 779 ( 8 mq ).

### 4.9. Preparation of $\mid$ Pd $(\$$-mq $)(\mu-p) w s) \mid$, 8

Complex 8 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for 1: $[\operatorname{Pd}(8=\mathrm{mq})($ acac) $](0.201$ g. 0.578 mmol) was reacted with 2 -mercaptopyrimidine $(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.578 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give 8 as a yellow solid, which precipitated from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture. Obtained: 0.178 g ( $86 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (719.45): C, 46.74; H. 3.08: N, 11.68. Found: C. 46.67 ; H. 2.96; N, 11.59. $\operatorname{IR}\left(\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1578,1533,1190,993$ (pymS): 1505, 818. 809.778 ( $8-\mathrm{mq}$ ).

### 4.10. Preparation of $(\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(\mu \text {-bsmd } S))_{2} 9$

Complex 9 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for $1:[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})($ acac $)](0.250$ g. 0.720 mmol ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptobenzimidazole ( $0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 0.720 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give 9 as a yellow solid, which precipitated from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture. Obtained: 0.220 g ( $77 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (795.55): C, 51.33; H, 3.29; N, 10.56. Found: C, 50.97; H, 3.31; N, 10.42. IR ( $\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3171 (NH), 1275, 993 (bzmdS); 1505, 819. 781 ( $8-\mathrm{mq}$ ).

### 4.11. Preparation of $[P d(8-m q)(\mu-t z S)]_{2} 10$

Complex 10 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for $1:[\mathrm{Pd}(8-\mathrm{mq})(\mathrm{acac})](0.250$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.720 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptothiazoline $(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 0.720 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give 10 as a yellow solid, which presipitated from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture. Obtained: 0.134 g ( $51 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (733.56): C, 42.57; H, 3.30; N, 7.63. Found: C, 42.45; H, 3.35; N, 7.57. IR $\left(\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1518,1024,972,940(\mathrm{tzS}) ; 1505,818,777$ ( $8-\mathrm{mq}$ ).

### 4.12. Preparation of $\left[P d(\mu-p y S)_{2} I_{2} 11\right.$

To a solution of [Pd(dmba)(acac)] ( $0.301 \mathrm{~g}, 0.886$ mmol ) in 20 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added 2-mercaptopyridine ( $0.256 \mathrm{~g}, 2.306 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The original pale-yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . During this time an orange solid precipitated, which was filtered after the reaction time, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 ml ) and air dried. This solid was identified by analytical and spectroscopic methods as $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{pyS})_{2}\right]_{2} 11$ [14]. Obtained: 0.218 g ( $76 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (653.43): C, 36.76; H. 2.47; N, 8.57. Found: C, 36.93; H, 2.38; N, 8.44. IR ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1543, 1135, 1014, 759, 742 (pyS).

### 4.13. Preparation of (Pd $\mu-$ bztas) $)_{2} 1_{2} 12$

Complex 12 was obtained following the same procedure than that deseribed for 11 : [Pd(dmba)(acac)] (0.301 g. 0.886 mmol ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptobenzothiazole ( 0.385 g .2 .303 mmol ) to give 12 as an orange solid. Obtained: 0.227 g ( $59 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ (877.78): C, 38.31; H. 1.84; N. 6.38. Found: C, 37.92; H. 1.74; N, 6.05. IR $\left(\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1247,1026,1016,745$ (bztzS). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z},(\%)]: 878(10 \%)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$.

### 4.14. Preparation of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mu-p y m S)_{2} I_{2} \quad 13$

Complex 13 was obtained following the same procedure than that described for 11: [ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dmba})(\mathrm{acac})](0.246$ g. 0.724 mmol ) was reacted with 2 -mercaptopyrimidine ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 1.882 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give 13 as a brownish solid. Obtained: 0.237 g ( $99 \%$ yield).

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (657.38): C. 29.23; H, 1.84; N, 17.04. Found: C, 29.26; H, 1.60; N, 16.85. IR $\left(\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1573,1537,1192,1005$ (pymS). Mass spectrum ( +FAB ) $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z},(\%)]: 658(20 \%)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]: 547$ (25\%) [(M-pymS) ${ }^{+}$.
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